

UK National Ecosystem Assessment Follow-on

The UK NEA and its follow-on project

First meeting of Expert Panel, Funders Group, Stakeholders & Principal Investigators

3-4 July 2012, London





Arts & Humanities Research Council



NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL



Llywodraeth Cymru Welsh Government

UK NEA published last year

- Synthesis & Key findings June
- Technical report November
- 1,465 pages in 27 Chapters some 5 kg!
- 500 authors
- Most comprehensive subglobal assessment to date
- But more to do on valuation, scenarios & decision-making "tools"

http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/Resources/tabid/82/Default.aspx



Conceptual Framework – evolution from the MA



Conceptual Framework – focus on well-being

		Other capital inputs		People		
			Well-being value			
Ecosystem processes/ Intermediate services	Final ecosystem services	Good(s)*	Economic	Health	Shared social	
	Crops, livestock, fish	Food	£	+/-	0/8	
Primary production	Trees, standing vegetation, peat	Fibre	£	+/-	0/8	
Water cycling	Water supply	Energy	2	+/-	©/8	
Soil formation	Climate regulation	Drinking water	£	+/-	0/8	
		Natural medicine	£	+/-	©/8	
Nutrient cycling	Disease & pest regulation	Recreation/Tourism	£	+/-	©/8	
Decomposition	Detoxification & purification in air, soils & water	Pollution/noise control	£	+/-	0/8	
	Pollination	Disease/pest control	2	+/-	©/8	
Weathering	Hazard regulation	Equable climate	£	+/-	0/8	
Ecological interactions	Noise regulation	Flood control	2	+/-	0/8	
	Wild species diversity	Erosion control	2	+/-	0/8	
Evolutionary processes	wild species diversity	Aesthetic/Inspiration	2	+/-		
Undiscovered	Environmental settings	Spiritual/Religious			0/8	
	Undiscovered services	Undiscovered	3	+/-	©/8	
e 10 The full set of ecosystem p	rocesses, services, goods/benefits and	values used in the UK NEA. Note that	t some	r (Cultural	
stem services can be both intermediate and final services. For simplicity, in this figure, services are shown only in nost final position that they occupy. Services such as pollination and climate regulation that also play important roles Ecosystem						
er back in the chain are not repre	esented here. Cells with no colour are eco t classification. *Note that the term good	osystem processes/services that were	not in Assessme	ent Po	gulating	
	s that have value for people. Source: ada				pporting	

UK Ecosystems (Broad Habitats)

Mountains/Moors/Heaths



Semi-natural grasslands



Woodlands



Enclosed farmland



Freshwater/Wetlands



Urban (settlement)



Coastal margins



Marine



Relative importance of Habitats for Ecosystem **Services** and direction of change since 1990

Service Group	Final Ecosystem Service	Mountains, Moorlands & Heaths	Semi-natural Grasslands	Enclosed Farmland		Freshwaters – Openwaters, Wetlands & Floodplains	Urban	Coastal Margins	Marine
Provisioning	Crops		↔	•		•	7	8	
	Livestock/Aquaculture	•	7	⇔	↔	2	↔	9	()
	Fish						↔	9	Ð
Prov	Trees, standing vegetation, peat	9	↔	I		9	↔	9	
	Water supply	Θ	2	9	↔	<u> </u>	↔	~	
	Wild species diversity	Θ	•	•	(?)	8	↔	9	9
Cultural	Environmental settings: Local places	Θ	⇔	~		3	Θ	Θ	
	Environmental settings: Landscapes/seascapes	Θ	\ominus	↔	7	Θ	↔	7	
Regulating	Climate	Θ	⇔		(7)	↔	8	a	
	Hazard	9	⇔		(8	9	Θ	
	Disease and pests	↔	↔	±		9		±	
	Pollination	9	9	9	↔		↔	↔	
	Noise	↔	↔	~	a	Θ		Θ	
	.5.5 Water quality	\ominus	7	<u>±</u>	Θ	(±	±	~	↔
	Water quality Soil quality Air quality	Θ	N	8	Θ	9	•		
	Air quality	↔	↔	7		↔	↔	↔	

UK National Ecosystem Assessment Follow-on

Importance and Trends in Drivers affecting Services

Service Group	Final Ecosystem Service	Habitat Change*	Pollution & Nutrient Enrichment	Overexploitation	Climate Change	Invasive Species
	Crops	⇒	>	\rightarrow	7	>
Provisioning	Livestock	→ 7	\rightarrow	(\rightarrow)	7	7
	Wild fish	3	N	\rightarrow	(\uparrow)	(→
	Farmed fish (aquaculture)	€)	7	7	7
	Timber	n	\rightarrow	n	(\uparrow)	\frown
ovisio	Water	→			(1)	
-F	Peat	⇒	(\rightarrow)	N	(\rightarrow)	(→
	Wild game	3	\rightarrow	N	7	(→
	Honey	7	(\rightarrow)		(\uparrow)	• •
	Ornamentals	7	(\rightarrow)		7	\rightarrow
	Genetic resources	→			7)	(→
	Wild species diversity	a			()	a
Cultural	Environmental settings	3	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	7)	7
	Climate	⇒	\rightarrow	N	^	\rightarrow
	Hazard	(→)	\rightarrow	Image: A start and a start	$\frown \bullet$	7
5	Disease and pests	- →	\rightarrow	7	7	I
Regulating	Pollination	(→	>	\rightarrow	7	
Reg	Noise	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\hat{+}} \\ \mathbf{\hat{+}} \\ \mathbf{\hat{+}} \\ \mathbf{\hat{+}} \\ \mathbf{\hat{+}} \end{array}$	\rightarrow		\rightarrow	\rightarrow
	Water quality	→	9	(→)	(7)	(→
	Soil quality	→ → →	\rightarrow	8	7	\rightarrow
	Air quality	⇒	8	>	^	\rightarrow
Supporting	Soil formation	3	8	a	$\frown \bullet$	7
	Nutrient cycling	(→	(\rightarrow)	(→)	7	\rightarrow
ddng	Water cycling	⇒ ⇒	7	a	(\uparrow)	\rightarrow
S	Primary production	→ 1	→	→)	(\uparrow)	→



Challenges:

- Improve our understanding of how different biodiversity groups underpin ES
- Identify key indicator groups, changes in which have an important impact on ES
- Develop UK comprehensive, integrated monitoring programme for these indicator groups

Six Contrasting Scenario projections



UK National Ecosystem Assessment Follow-on

Implications of 'storylines' on Ecosystem Services



Economic Implications of contrasting 'storylines'



(hectares, ha).

Spatial Economic Implications of two 'storylines'



NEA Summary

- Already enough information to manage our ecosystems more sustainably and good evidence of the benefits of doing so.
- But a more sustainable development will require changes in individual and societal behaviour and adoption of a more integrated approach to ecosystem management.
- A priority is to improve our understanding of how changes in our ecosystems, e.g.: halting the loss of biodiversity, influences the delivery of ecosystem services.
- 4. While illustrated how considering **both market and nonmarket values** from ecosystem services can influence economic prosperity, we **need to develop methods to incorporate health and social values in decision making.**

Adoption in Policy – Natural Environment White Paper (England)

Commitment 1:

"Building on the National Ecosystem Assessment, the Government will support a further phase of groundbreaking research. It will investigate the mix of **future** actions most likely to secure the most benefits for nature and for people from our ecosystems. It will also develop practical tools to assist decision-makers in applying the lessons of the NEA."



Adoption in Policy – Land Use Strategy (Scotland)

Vision

A Scotland where we fully recognise, understand and value the importance of our land resources, and where our plans and decisions about land use deliver improved and enduring benefits, enhancing the wellbeing of our nation.

Objectives

• Land based **businesses working with nature** to contribute more to Scotland's prosperity

 Responsible stewardship of Scotland's natural resources delivering more benefits to Scotland's people

• Urban and rural communities better connected to the land, with more people enjoying the land and positively influencing land use



Getting the best from our land A land use strategy for Scotland

Adoption in Policy – A Living Wales



🖸 SHARE 🖪 🖢 🖂 ... 🛛 Last updated 27 September 2011

We all need to rethink the way we view, protect and manage the Welsh environment.

Case studies

Publications

Tools and useful links

How do I get involved?



Many people have become disconnected from their surroundings and underestimate the contribution

that a healthy environment plays in their everyday lives. So it is not surprising that the environment doesn't feature strongly in many decisions we make about our future or our personal well being.

With everyone's help, we want to change this. We want to make the health and quality of our natural environment a focus for everyone and a key part of decision making.

The Minister for Environment and Sustainable Development has launched the next steps in delivering Wales' new approach to managing its natural environment in a Written Statement. This can be found under related links.

We have published the consultation responses, our summaries of responses and our statement of next steps. These documents can be found at the bottom of the consultation page (available on the right).

Related Links

Updates from meetings



Updates from the Living Wales groups.

Written Statement - The Natural Environment Framework 'A Living Wales'

John Griffiths, Minster for Environment and Sustainable Development

Vision for Living Wales



A brief explanation of want we want our Natural Environmental Framework to achieve.

Living Wales - Key messages



This explains what the issues are, why change is needed, what this could mean and how to aet involved.

UK National Ecosystem Assessment Follow-on

Influenced setting up of Natural Capital Committee

Home	About us	Our work	Evidence	Contact us
You are here: Home > Natur	al Capital Committee			
▼ Defra homepage	Natural Capita	l Committee		Related information
 Natural Capital Committe About us Our work 	ee			 Defra's ecosystems services research programme National Ecosystems
 Evidence Contact us 	0000			Assessment Natural Environment
	The Natural Enviro	onment White Paper, the Nato	ural Choice: Securing the	 White Paper The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity
		interie vince raper, the nati	-	

The Natural Environment White Paper, the Natural Choice: Securing the Value of Nature, announced the creation of the Natural Capital Committee. This Committee will report to the Economic Affairs Committee (chaired by the Chancellor of the Exchequer) and aims to provide independent expert advice on the state of English natural capital.

The Natural Capital Committee is designed to ensure that Government has a better informed understanding of the value of Natural Capital, and will help it to prioritise actions to support and improve the UK's natural assets. By reporting into the EA Committee and the Chancellor, this Committee has the opportunity to truly influence the economic policy of the UK for the good of the natural environment.

Latest News

Defra appoints Dieter Helm as Chair of the Natural Capital Committee

NEA2 – 1) Natural Capital Accounting

- Developing the evidence for a Natural Capital Asset Check
- Macroeconomic implications of ecosystem service change and management
- Valuing a wider set of ecosystem service flows, and extending to the marine environment

NEA2 – 2) Deeper understanding of Well-being



- Further exploration of cultural ecosystem services to extend the theoretical, methodological and practical understanding.
- How shared and plural values for ecosystem services can inform decision making, along side economic considerations?

NEA2 – 3) Broadening the Scenarios

- To deepen the analysis of UK NEA scenarios so that they support both economic and macroeconomic analysis of Objective 1, and explore how these influence well-being values.
- To use these enhanced scenarios to undertake analysis of the impacts of a wide range of societal responses to ecosystem change, at a range of spatial and temporal scales.

NEA 2 – 4) Enhancing knowledge exchange and enabling decision-making

- Understanding and influencing culture and behaviour to maintain and enhance the delivery of ecosystem services.
- Engagement with end users and exploration of a framework to prioritise tool development.
- Development and enhancement of tools and resources for the dissemination the findings/ methods of the UK NEA.